

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/699,972 | Applicant(s) JOSTEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner James R. Scott | Art Unit 2832 | |

All Participants:

(1) James R. Scott, examiner.

(2) James A. Wilke, attorney.

Status of Application: to be allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2004

Time: approximately two o'clock EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The parties discussed the amendments to paragraph [0021] which may be found in the Examiners Amendment. Drawings must be corrected--numerals and lead lines. The title will be amended, per mutual agreement to read as:-- SWITCHGEAR ENCLOSURE--.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)
Primary Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)